

# International Journal of Dentistry and Oral Health

**Research Article** 

Volume: 2.4

**Open Access** 

# GIOMER- The Intelligent Particle (New Generation Glass Ionomer Cement)

Noor Saira Wajid Najma Hajira<sup>1,\*</sup> and N Meena<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ridgetop Dental International, Bangalore, Karnataka, India <sup>2</sup>Vokkaligara Sangha Dental College and Hospital, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Noor Saira Wajid Najma Hajira, Endodontist, Ridgetop Dental International, Bangalore, Karnataka, India, Tel: 8884926497; E-mail: dr.noorsaira@gmail.com

Received date: 24 Aug 2015; Accepted date: 30 Nov 2015; Published date: 8 Dec 2015.

**Citation:** Najma Hajira NSW, Meena N (2015) GIOMER- The Intelligent Particle (New Generation Glass Ionomer Cement). Int J Dent Oral Health 2(4): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2378-7090.166

**Copyright:** © 2015 Najma Hajira NSW, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Abstract

This paper reviews a revolutionary PRG (pre-reacted glass ionomer) filler technology-which was developed as a new category as "Giomer". This review is the first article that covers comprehensive information about the evolution, fabrication of PRG fillers, types of PRG particles, biological properties, physical properties, optical properties and applications of giomer based on numerous studies available.

Keywords: Fluoride release giomer; Glass ionomer; Prereacted glass ionomer

#### Introduction

The search for a material that has the fluoride releasing capability of conventional glass ionomer and the durability of composites led to the introduction of polyacid modified composite or compomers by Denstply in 1993.

Compomer is mainly composed of resin matrix with glass fillers and dehydrated polyalkenoic acid. Their advantage being that they undergo initial light activated polymerization which provides early strength development followed by a slow acid base reaction because of hydration of the dehydrated polyalkenoic acid by absorption of water after placement into the cavity. But the fluoride release even though was higher than conventional composites was lesser then the conventional glass ionomer and has no fluoride recharge capacity.

To overcome these disadvantages recently a new category of hybrid aesthetic restorative material which differs from both resin modified glass ionomer and composites has been introduced by Shofu Inc. (Kyoto, Japan 2000 known as GIOMERS, in which they created a Stable Glass-ionomer phase on a glass core in which they induced an acid-base reaction between fluoride containing glass and polycarboxylic acid in the presence of waterdeveloped as Pre-Reacted Glass-ionomer (PRG) filler.

Robert et al. first remarked the fact that the fluoride releasing mechanism of glass ionomer cement was derived from its acid-base reaction phase between ion leachable fluoroaliminosilicate glass and polyalkenoic acid in permeable polyalkenoate matrices, and newly developed a revolutionary Prereacted glass ionomer (PRG) filler technology [1]. So the fluoride ion release was because of the formation of the acid base reaction phase on the surface of the glass core. This PRG technology was applied to the filler component of resin composite materials to provide a bioactive result that released and was recharged with fluoride-like a traditional glass ionomer cement-all the while maintaining the original physical properties of the resin composite system [2,3].

#### Giomer

Giomer is a fluoride-releasing, resin-based dental adhesive material that comprises PRG fillers.

#### Fabrication of PRG fillers

PRG fillers are fabricated by the acid-base reaction between fluoroalumino –silicate glass (FASG) and polyalkenoic acid (PAA) in the presence of water to form a wet siliceous hydrogel. After freeze-drying, the desiccated xerogel was further milled and silanized to form PRG fillers of a specific size range [4].

#### **Types of PRG fillers**

The PRG-fillers depending on the degree of reaction of the glass ionomer with the acid are divided into two types, and are included into the formulation of giomer products [5].

**S-PRG:** The reaction is detected in surface-loans and are called surface reaction (surface reaction type, S-PRG fillers)

**F-PRG:** The reactions proceeded throughout and called are complete reactions (full reaction type, F-PRG fillers), to make the production of F-PRG- the presence of large quantities of water. The use of both types of PRG fillers promote rapid fluoride release through a ligand exchange within the prereacted hydrogel[6] So the F-PRG, fillers would release a huge amount of fluoride as the core of the particle is completely reacted unlike in the S-PRG fillers, the F-PRG would degrade faster than S-PRG fillers. The further advantage of S-PRG is that it releases five ions other than fluoride which have beneficial properties. The ions are Al, B, Na, Si, Sr ions [7,8].

**Modified S-PRG:** Recently, improvement on the PRG technology has been developed that resulting in the development of Modified "S-PRG filler" which consists of a Three-layered structure with an original glass core of multifunctional fluoro-boro-alminosilicate glass and Two-surface layers that form a pre-reacted glass-ionomer phase on the surface of a glass core and a reinforced modified layer that covers the surface of prereacted glass-ionomer phase. This trilaminar structure forms a type of stable glass ionomer which allows ion release and recharge to take place, while protecting the glass core from the damaging effects of moisture, greatly improving long-term durability. Fujimoto demonstrated that the new fluoride releasing restorative system with modified S-PRG filler also releases the F-ion as well as other ions such as Al, B, Na, Si, and Sr [8].

**Copyright:** © 2015 Najma Hajira NSW, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



#### The benefits of S-PRG filler

As the S-PRG fillers release ions other than fluoride, the serve a range of benefits, (i) Fluoride release and fluoride recharge, (ii) formation of acid resistant layer [9] (iii) reinforcement of tooth structure [10] (iv) antiplaque effect [11] (v) remineralization of dentin [12] (vi) acid buffering capacity and reduce acid production by acidogenic bacteria [8].

**Properties of GIOMER:** The properties of giomer need to be understood under (i) Biological, (ii) Physical / Mechanical properties, (iii) Optical properties

**Biological properties:** Antiteridonike effect – Fluroide release and recharge, Ion release & modulation effect, Buffering of lactic acid, Antibacterial properties, Adherence of streptococcus mutans, PRG and biofilm, Mineral induction, Cytotxicity

#### Fluoride release

Study by Itota et al. has shown that the amount of total and free fluoride release from Giomer was higher than Compomer and resin composite and concluded, the extent of glass ionomer matrix of glass filler play an important role for fluoride releasing and recharging abilities of the resin based materials [13]. Also it has been shown that giomers and compomers do have the initial fluoride burst effect of the glass ionomer cements [14]. Gururaj 2011, Values of 1 ppm for fluoride release in artificial saliva were attained only with conventional GIC, resin modified GIC and giomer [15].

#### Fluoride recharge

Preston and Han reported that the ability of a material to exhibit fluoride recharge depends on its ability to retain fluoride [16]. The recharge ability is governed by the number of sites available within a material able to retain absorbed fluoride. So more the fluoride release, more sites are available and more is the fluoride recharge [17].

A study conducted by Naoum 2011, compared the fluoride release and recharge between different fluoride releasing materials and reported that the fluoride release and recharge was maximum for giomer products [18]. But Fuji IX Extra (glass ionomer) demonstrated greater fluoride release and recharge compared to the other three composite and giomer as well. This could be explained on the basis on resin matrix that is permeability, hydrophilic nature of matrix which encompasses the filler particles [18].

# Difference in Fluoride Release before and after Recharge

#### Pre recharge release

Itota 2005 reported that, Beautiful showed the maximum release both in deionozed water and lactic acid and Greater fluoride release in lactic acid when compared to water is stated as "smart behaviour" [19]. Giomer have more release when compared to other fluoride releasing composites as the hydogel of S-PRG particles exhibited a higher permeability and porosity than resin matrices. This hydrogel provides Beautiful II with areas within the structure capable of greater fluoride uptake relative to a composite not containing a glass ionomer phase.

#### After recharge

Beautifil II, showed greater rerelease in water compared to acids. This may be due to the dissolving action of acid facilitating additional cation release from the filler. These cations have the capability to form fluoride complexes with fluoride ions introduced through recharge into the resin [20,21]. Such complexes are of greater molecular size than free fluoride ions and are may experience resistance to movement as well as increased retention time within resin matrix. A delayed release of such complex point to possible sustained release and enhance potential of recurrent caries [18].

Dijkman reported that, placement of unfilled resin over glass ionomer reduce the level of fluoride release by a factor of 1.5 to 4 times [22], It follows that the post recharge fluoride release from Beautifil II would be comparable and would potentially exceed the Plateau Release of glass ionomer that demonstrate caries inhibition. While the greater permeability and porosity of glass ionomer contributed to the significantly higher fluoride release, these characteristics also contributed to reduction in elastic moduli and hardness with aging [18].

#### Ion release and modulation effect

As stated earlier, the S-PRG fillers release six different ions, those being the Na<sup>+</sup>, BO<sup>3-</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, SiO<sup>2-</sup>.

Their functions being as follows in table 1.

#### Silicate ions - mineral induction

It was reported that Si seemed to promote hydroxyapatite formation as silica gel induced apatite nucleation on its surface. The surface silanol groups of hydrated silica gel interacted with the calcium and phosphorous ions from the surrounding environment, thereby generating biologically active apatite on the silica gel surface [23].

Alternatively, it was reported that Si ions released from bioactive glass particles were adsorbed on the substrate surface, thereby providing sites for heterogeneous apatite nucleation. Once nucleated, it will spontaneously grow to form a bone-like apatite layer [24].

For bonelike apatite coating on materials with complex shapes, it was reported that an apatite layer was formed when sodium silicate was used as a catalyst for apatite nucleation —whereby particular silicate oligomers with structures such as dimer, linear trimer, and cyclic tetramer contributed most to apatite nucleation [25]. On its contribution to dentin mineralization, it was reported that Si promoted dentin mineralization by a mechanism based on the condensation of silicic acid to oligomers. When a sufficient quantity of Si was adsorbed on dentin with anionic groups (SiO–), it acted as a nucleation centre for subsequent, increased Cap formation [26].

#### Modulation by ion release/ Buffering of acidic medium

The release rate of fluoride and other ions is controlled by a diffusion mechanism of the ions through the matrix and is influenced by myriad factors such as the duration of fluoride release, pH of the extraction medium, and the surface area and degree of erosive wear of the glass ionomer cement. On the effect of medium pH ion release behavior, acidic conditions are known to enhance the release of all ions [27]. Nonetheless, it must be pointed out that this enhanced release in acids is not uniform, but occurs to different extents for each ion [28].

Interestingly, despite these differences, ion release tends to lead to fairly uniform shifts in the pH of the extraction medium towards neutral [29]. In a study conducted by, Fujimoto in 2010 showed that, in lactic acid, the highest amount of ions released was observed for Sr, followed by F and Si. Similarly, B and Na showed a gradual increase in the amount of ions released as the ratio of solution increased [8].

The same study reported that S-PRG filler altered the pH values of both distilled water and lactic acid solution closer to neutral, regardless of their different pH levels before mixing. These results thus showed that S-PRG filler, like the conventional glass ionomer cements, had a modulation effect on acidic solutions.

The acidic attack on glass ionomer cements has been shown to occur mainly on the gel phase rather than the unreacted glass cores, indicating a release of ions from the matrix phase of set cement. Nonetheless, acidity of the surrounding solution has been shown to degrade the glass cores of glass ionomer cements, thereby increasing the number of ions released.



lons Released by S-PRG Filler		Bioactive Properties
Na⁺	Sodium ion	Water soluble/Induces the function of 5 other ions
BO <sup>3-</sup>	Borate ions	Bactericidal activity/ Promotion of bone formation, prevention of bacterial adhesion, antiplaque properties
Al <sup>3+</sup>	Aluminium ions	Control of hypersensitivity
SiO <sup>2-</sup>	Silicate ion	Calcification of bone
Sr <sup>2+</sup>	Strontium ion	Effect of neutralization and acid buffer, promotes formation of bone tissue and calcification/ Improves of acid resistance
F <sup>-</sup>	Fluoride ions	Creation of fluoroapatite (formation of acid insoluble crystals- caries prevention, antibacterial effect, Remineralization in decalcifies lesions

 Table 1: Ion release and modulation effect

In contrast, S-PRG filler-unlike glass ionomer cements-was relatively stable in acidic conditions; On the clinical implications of S-PRG fillers, results of this study suggested that they could wield a two-fold impact: 1) Able to release ions that contribute to tooth mineralization. 2) And have a modulation effect on the acidic conditions produced by oral cariogenic microorganisms.

# **Giomer on Biofilm**

## Adherence of streptococcus mutans/Anti-plaque effect

The colonization of dental plaque by *S. mutans* plays a causative role in dental caries. Nishio and Yamamoto 2002, Found that fluoride released from S-PRG fillers was connected with the prevention of plaque accumulation on the surface of experimental resin composite containing S-PRG fillers [30]. Other commercial composite resins showed matured plaque on their surfaces after 24 hours compared to giomer. On the surface of a tooth restored using Beautifil II a "material film" layer is formed by saliva that is reported to minimize plaque adhesion and inhibit bacterial colonization. Although this "material film" layer may be removed by brushing, subsequent layers are reproduced by saliva. Therefore S-PRG filler has a function of inhibiting plaque accumulation.

Recently, an in vivo experiment showed that less dental plaque was formed on S-PRG-containing resin materials than on two alternative materials. In addition, the adherence of S. Mutans to the saliva-treated resin surface was significantly lower on the S-PRG-containing resin than that on the other two materials, despite none of the materials possessing significant bactericidal activity .From these results, we can conclude that S-PRG can inhibit S. Mutans in both solid resin and soluble forms [11].

#### Periodontal biofilm

Periodontitis is caused by periodontopathic bacteria such as Porphyromonasgingivalis, a black-pigmented, Gramnegative, asaccharolytic anaerobic bacterium [31]. *P. Gingivalis* has several biologic activities such as protease secretion and coaggregation [32]. The protease gingipain is reported to have suppressive activity on human neutrophils [33]. Gingipain is related to the growth promotion of *P. gingivali*. Gingipain is also associated with gelatinase activity which may cause periodontal tissue degradation [34]. *P. gingivalis* coaggregates with other oral bacteria such as *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, and the formation of these multistrain complex communities is an initial and critical step in the pathogenesis of periodontitis [35].

# Effect of S-PRG on the coaggregation between *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum*

*F. nucleatum* exhibits coaggregation with *P. gingivalis*. Coaggregation of periodontopathic bacteria is associated with bacterial attachment in the gingival crevice [36]. Recently, some metal ions were found to suppress the coaggregating ability of P.gingivalis and they are expected to inhibit the settlement of *P. gingivalis* in the gingival sulcus [37] S-PRG may also disturb the formation of advanced multistrain bacterial communities in the periodontal environment. The mechanism of these inhibitory effects is

unclear and needs to be clarified. S-PRG is known to release various ions, including F<sup>-</sup>,  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$ ,  $SiO^{3-}$ ,  $BO_3^{-3-}$ , and  $Na^+$  [38].

Boron is known to have an antibacterial activity in cutaneous diseases and periodontitis and inhibits bacterial and fungal quorum sensing. [39-41]. Quorum sensing is a key factor in biofilm formation, so inhibition of this function in Streptococci may be a good candidate mechanism underlying the actions of S-PRG. In *P. gingivalis*, the mechanism responsible for S-PRG actions may involve the control of metal salts and ions that regulate bacterial enzyme activity. Gingipains are known to require metal ions to achieve maximum enzyme activity whereas gelatinases are inhibited by metal salts. Thus, S-PRG may affect enzyme activity by modulating the concentrations of these metal salts and ions [42,43]. S-PRG eluate was found to have a suppressive effect on the BAPNA-hydrolyzing and gelatinase activities of *P. gingivalis*.

# Giomer and Dentine Hyper Sensitivity

Tsubota et al. reported that S-PRG fillers have a superior, occluding effect on open dentinal tubules, thereby providing acid resistance to the underlying dentin. Fluoride and other minerals in concentrated release from S-PRG fillers may contribute to remineralization in the tubules and subsequently, occlusion over a long period [44].

# Cytotoxicity

It has been revealed that the low initial pH of dental materials may lead to cytotoxic reactions [45,46] Since giomer employs prereacted glass ionomer technology, the fluoroaluminosilicate glass reacts with polyalkenoic acid in water prior to the inclusion into silica-filled urethane resin , it seems that the initial pH in giomer does not decrease as much as that of resin ionomer and conventional GIC [47]. A study demonstrated that the resin modified glass ionomers maintained a low surface pH for at least the first 60 min of setting [48]. Huang et al. had demonstrated that resin-modified glass ionomer cement was cytotoxic to cultured human gingival fibroblasts by inhibiting cell growth, attachment and proliferation [49]. In vitro study reported that Giomer composite is a non-toxic material for Human gingival fibroblasts [50].

## Ii) Physical properties/mechanical properties

Flexural Strength: 130 Mpa

Shear bond strength =12.39 Mpa

Vickers Hardness: 62 Hv

Wear Resistance: 0.52 wt% [51].

Study conducted by Quader, reported the Comparative Compressive strength of Giomer, Compomer and Composite [52] (246 Mpa, 151.943 Mpa and 146.265 Mpa respectively)

#### Water absorption/ dimensional change

Dental restorative materials which are designed to release fluoride do so by diffusion of fluoride ions within an absorbed aqueous medium. Central to the ability to release fluoride, is an ability of a material to



support diffusion of water whilst not having an excessively large value of water absorption.

McCabe [53] reported that the nature of the resin matrix is a fundamental parameter which may control not only the rate of diffusion of water but also the extent of water sorption into that part of the material structure. In the giomer, the pre-reacted zone may affect only the surface of the glass or may consume almost the whole of the glass particles and this difference creates a further sub-division of products within this group. The reacted zones on the surfaces of filler particles may not only act as reservoirs for fluoride re-charging but may also contribute to an increased water absorption and diffusion. Such absorption can be tolerated provided that it does not lead to any deterioration in mechanical properties or produce excessive swelling or result in internal or radial pressure being generated when the material is confined, either within a cavity, or when being used as a luting agent.

McCabe also reported between giomer and compomer, only the giomer gave a substantial degree of swelling which suggests that the mechanism of water absorption for this material was able to overcome the restraining influence of the cavity [53]. The main difference in microstructure between the giomer and compomer materials is the presence of pre-reacted glass polyacid zones which become part of the filler in the giomer structure. It seems likely that these zones are responsible for generating the osmotic effect which leads to swelling and pressure. Whether or not the pressure is great enough to cause tooth fractures is uncertain as this will depend upon the cavity dimensions, residual tooth structure thickness [53].

Fatma, interestingly reported that, there is a direct relation between the degree of water sorption of different restorative materials and either color stability and marginal integrity [54].

#### **Optical properties**

The patented filler technology integrates the light transmission and diffusion properties of natural teeth. This enables naturally appearing restorations with even one layer. The filler structure has been developed to simulate the internal structure of natural teeth with ideal light transmission and optical characteristics. The moderate translucency and light transmission of enamel combined with the light-diffusion of dentin offers predictable aesthetics with a close shade match to natural teeth.

Excellent natural shade reproduction can be achieved with a chameleon effect, using a single shade that blends well with surrounding teeth making the restoration undetectable. In aesthetically demanding cases additional shades can be used to achieve exceptional results. Fluorescence close to natural teeth and Radiopacity of 3.4 Al : mm, exceptional radiopacity, 70% greater than enamel and 200% greater than dentin and 1.7 times of Enamel and 3 times higher than Dentin. It has a depth of cure: 5.9 mm.

# **Applications/Indications**

- 1. Restorations of Class III, IV and V cavities
- 2. Restorations of Class I cavities and selectively Class II cavities
- 3. Restorations in deciduous teeth
- 4. Base / liner under restorations
- 5. Fissure sealant
- 6. Undercut blockout
- 7. Restorations of fractured porcelain and composites
- 8. Restoration of cervical erosion and root caries
- 9. Repair of fractured incisal edges
- 10. Veneers and posts
- 11. Direct cosmetic repairs
- 12. Pulp capping agent

## Conclusion

Giomer is a specialized restorative material which the properties of both glass ionomer cement and composites. The S-PRG technology not only provides the benefits of mechanical strength of a composite material but also provides release of multiple ions i.e Sodium ions, Silicate ions, Aluminium ions, Fluoride ions, Borate ions and Strontium ions which in turn provide multiple biological functions like Fluoride release and recharge, Anti-plaque effect, Anti Biofilm effect, Modulation of pH. Also, the aesthetics, light transmission, diffusion and fluorescence properties similar to natural teeth. It has unequalled radiopacity, color stability and excellent handling and material properties.

#### References

- Roberts TA, Miyai K, Ikemura K, Fuchigami K, Kitamura T (1999) Fluoride ion sustained release preformed glass ionomer filler and dental compositions containing the same. United States Patent No. 5,883,153.
- Itota T, TE Carrick, M Yoshiyama, JP McCabe (2004) Fluoride release and recharge in giomer, compomer and resin composite. Dent Mater 20: 789-795.
- Okuyama K, Murata Y, Pereira PN, Miguez PA, Komatsu H, et al. (2006) Fluoride release and uptake by various dental materials after fluoride application. Am J Dent 19: 123-127.
- Ikemura K, Tay FR, Kouro Y, Endo T, Yoshiyama M, et al. (2003) Optimizing filler content in an adhesive system containing pre-reacted glass-ionomer fillers. Dent Mater 19: 137-146.
- Ikemura K, Tay FR, Endo T, Pashley DH (2008) A review of chemicalapproach and ultramorphological studies on the development of fluoride-releasing dental adhesives comprising new pre-reacted glass ionomer (PRG) fillers. Dent Mater J 27: 315-339
- Han L, Okamoto A, Fukushima M, Okiji T (2006) Evaluation of a new fluoride-releasing one-step adhesive. Dent Mater J 25: 509-515.
- Ito S, lijima M, Hashimoto M, Tsukamoto N, Mizoguchi I, et al. (2011) Effects of surface pre-reacted glass-ionomer fillers on mineral induction by phosphoprotein. J Dent 39: 72-79.
- Fujimoto Y, Iwasa M, Murayama R, Miyazaki M, Nagafuji A, et al. (2010) Detection of ions released from S-PRG fillers and their modulation effect. Dent Mater J 29: 392-397.
- Iida Y, Nilaido T, Kitayama S, Takagaki T, Unoue G, et al. (2009) Evaluation of dentin bonding performance and acid-base resistance of the interface of two-step self-etching adhesive systems. Dent Mater J 28: 493-500.
- Tomiyama K, Mukai Y, Teranaka T (2008) Acid resistance induced by a new orthodontic bonding system in vitro. Dent Mater J 27: 590-597.
- Saku S, Kotake H, Scougall-Vilchis RJ, Ohashi S, Hotta M, et al. (2010) Antibacterial activity of composite resin with glass-ionomer filler particles. Dent Mater J 29: 193-198.
- Ito S, Iijima M, Hashimoto M, Tsukamoto N, Mizoguchi I, et al. (2011) Effect of surface pre-reacted glass-ionomer fillers on mineral induction by phosphoprotein. J Dent 39: 72-79.
- Itota T, Carrick TE, Rusby S, Al-Naimi OT, Yoshiyama M, et al. (2004) Determination of fluoride ions released from resin-based dental materials using ion-selective electrode and ion chromatograph. J Dent 32: 117-22.
- Yap AU, Tham SY, Zhu LY, Lee HK (2002) Short term fluoride release from various aesthetic restorative materials. Operative Dentistry 27: 259-265.
- 15. Gururaj (2011) Journal of Dentistry. Volume 2 Issue 1, November 2011, Pages 1-9.



- Preston AJ, Mair LH, Agalamanyi EA, Higham SM (1999) Fluoride release from aesthetic dental materials. J Oral Rehabil 26: 123-9.
- Attar N, Onen A (2002) Fluoride release and uptake characteristics of aesthetic restorative materials. J Oral Rehabil 29: 791-798.
- Naoum S, Ellakwa A, Martin F, Swain M (2011) Fluoride Release, Recharge and Mechanical Property Stability of Various Fluoridecontaining Resin Composites. Operative Dentistry 36: 422-432.
- Itota T, AT AI- Naimi, TE Carrick, M Yoshiyama, JP McCabe (2005) Fluoride release and neutralizing effect by resin based materials. Operative Dent 30: 522-27.
- 20. Nicholson JW, Czarnecka B (2004) The release of ions by compomers under neutral and acidic conditions J Oral Rehabil 31: 665-670.
- Williams JA, Briggs E, Billington RW, Pearson GJ (2003) The effects of adding fluoride compounds to a fluoride-free glass ionomer cement on subsequent fluoride and sodium release. Biomaterials 24: 1301-1308.
- Dijkman GE, Arends J (1992) Secondary caries in situ around fluoridereleasing light-curing composites: a quantitative model investigation on four materials with fluoride content between 0 and 26 vol%. Caries Res 26: 351-357.
- Li P, Ohtsuki C, Kokubo T, Nakanishi K, Soga N, et al. (1993) Effects of ions in aqueous media on hydroxyapatite induction by silica gel and its relevance to bioactivity of bioactive glasses and glassceramics. J Appl Biomater 4: 221-229.
- Tanahashi M, Yao T, Kokubo T, Minoda M, Miyamoto T, et al. (1994) Apatite coated on organic polymers by biomimetic process: improvement in its adhesion to substrate by NaOH treatment. J Appl Biomater 5: 339-347.
- Miyaji F, Kim HM, Handa S, Kokubo T, Nakamura T (1999) Bonelike apatite coating on organic polymers: novel nucleation process using sodium silicate solution. Biomaterials 20: 913-919.
- Forsback AP, Areva S, Salonen JI (2004) Mineralization of dentin induced by treatment with bioactive glass S53P4 in vitro. Acta Odontol Scand 62: 14-20.
- Gandolfi MG, Chersoni S, Acquaviva GL, Piana G, Prati C, et al. (2006) Fluoride release and absorption at different pH from glassionomer cements. Dent Mater 22: 441- 449.
- Czarnecka B, Nicholson JW (2006) Ion release by resin-modified glass-ionomer cements into water and lactic acid solutions. J Dent 34: 539-543.
- Nicholson JW, Aggarwal A, Czarnecka B, Limanowska-Shaw H (2000) The rate of change of pH of lactic acid exposed to glass-ionomer dental cements. Biomaterials 21: 1989- 1993.
- Nishio M, Yamamoto K (2002) The anti-dental plaque effect of fluoride releasing light-cured composite resin restorative materials. Jpn J Conserv Dent 45: 459-468.
- Genco CA, Potempa J, Mikolajczyk-Pawlinska J, Travis J (1999) Role of gingipains R in the pathogenesis of Porphyromonas gingivalismediated periodontal disease. Clin Infect Dis 28: 456–465.
- Kadowaki T, Nakayama K, Okamoto K, Abe N, Baba A, et al. (2000) Porphyromonas gingivalis proteinases as virulence determinants in progression of periodontal diseases. J Biochem 128: 153–159.
- Kadowaki T, Yoneda M, Okamoto K, Maeda K, Yamamoto K (1994) Purification and characterization of a novel arginine-specific cysteine proteinase (argingipain) involved in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease from the culture supernatant of Porphyromonas gingivalis. J Biol Chem 269: 21371–21378.
- Andrian E, Mostefaoui Y, Rouabhia M, Grenier D (2007) Regulation of matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases by Porphyromonas gingivalis in an engineered human oral mucosa model. J Cell Physiol 211: 56–62.

- Kamaguch A, Nakayama K, Ohyama T, Watanabe T, Okamoto M, et al. (2001) Coaggregation of Porphyromonas gingivalis and Prevotella intermedia. Microbiol Immunol 45: 649–656.
- Okuda T, Okuda K, Kokubu E, Kawana T, Saito A, et al. (2012) Synergistic effect on biofilm formation between Fusobacteriumnucleatum and Capnocytophagaochracea. Anaerobe 18: 157-61.
- Tamura M, Ochiai K (2009) Zinc and copper play a role in coaggregation inhibiting action of Porphyromonas gingivalis. Oral Microbiol Immunol 24: 56–63.
- Shimazu K, Ogata K, Karibe H (2011) Evaluation of the ion-releasing and recharging abilities of a resin-based fissure sealant containing S-PRG filler. Dental Material J 30: 923–927.
- Baker SJ1, Akama T, Zhang YK, Sauro V, Pandit C, et al. (2006) Identification of a novel boron-containing antibacterial agent (AN0128) with anti-inflammatory activity, for the potential treatment of cutaneous diseases, Bioorg Med Chem Lett16: 5963–5967.
- Luan Q, Desta T, Chehab L, Sanders VJ, Plattner J, et al. (2008) Inhibition of experimental periodontitis by a topical boron-based antimicrobial. J Dental Research 87: 148–152.
- Dembitsky VM, Al Quntar AA, Srebnik M, (2011) Natural and synthetic small boron-containing molecules as potential inhibitors of bacterial and fungal quorum sensing. Chemical Reviews 111: 209–237.
- Chen Z, Potempa J, Polanowski A, Wikstrom M, Travis J (1992) Purification and characterization of a 50-kDa cysteine proteinase (gingipain) from Porphyromonas gingivalis. J Biol Chem 267: 18896– 18901.
- De Souza AP, Gerlach RF, Line SR (2000) Inhibition of human gingival gelatinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) by metal salts. Dent Mater 16: 103– 108.
- Tsubota Y, Mukai Y, Hanaoka K (2006) The application of S-PRG powder in the curative treatment of dental hypersensitivity in vitro. Japan J Conserv Dent 49: 563-573.
- Meryon SD, Stephens PG, Browne RM (1983) A comparison of the in vitro cytotoxicity of two glass-ionomer cements. J Dent Res 62: 769-773.
- Sidhu SK, Schmalz G (2001) The biocompatibility of glass-ionomer cement materials. A status report for the American Journal of Dentistry. Am J Dent 14: 387-396.
- 47. Yap AU, Mok BY (2002) Surface finish of a new hybrid aesthetic restorative material. Oper. Dent. 27: 161-166.
- Woolford MJ, Chadwick RG (1992) Surface pH of resin-modified glass polyalkenoate (ionomer) cements. J Dent 20: 359-364.
- Huang FM, Tai KW, Chou MY, Chang YC (2002) Resinous perforation repair materials inhibit the growth, attachment, and proliferation of human gingival fibroblasts. J Endod 28: 291-294.
- Reza P, Safar F, Soodabeh K, Leila M, Antony J, et al. (2009) In vitro assessment of cytotoxicity of giomer on human gingival fibroblasts. Afr J Biotechnol 8 : 5522-5526.
- 51. Manuja N, Pandit IK, Srivastava N, Gugnani N, Nagpal R (2011) Comparative evaluation of shear bond strength of various esthetic restorative materials to dentin: An in vitro study. 29.
- Abdul Quader SM, Shamsul A, Bashar AKM, Gafur A, Al-Mansur M A (2012) Compressive Strength, Fluoride Release and Recharge of Giomer. Updat Dent Coll J 2: 28-37.
- McCabe JF, Rusby S (2004) Water absorption, dimensional change and radial pressure in resin matrix dental restorative materials. Biomaterials 25: 4001–4007.
- Fatma M, Zaghloul N M, Ell-kappaney AM (2012) Effect of Water Absorption on Color Stability of Different Resin Based Restorative Materials in Vitro Study. Int J Composite Materials 2: 7-10.